

Paragraph 3. He says the total submission of calls was 8 !! Totally Incorrect !! We have made that many calls in 1 night from various flats in the height of all of this going on, so we know this is NOT a factual statement. We have been told more than once by the police control room, that once an incident is logged for the first time a crime reference number is issued, but if 4 or 5 further people also ring in to them advising them of the same issue, it is only logged as ONE incident, which puts the police statistics totally incorrect. On the police report we produced everything logged was actual at the time, and everything was recorded in fine detail, type of incident, times, dates, police response etc, and these were noted at the actual time accurately. So we are very unsure as to where PC is getting this figures from!!

Paragraph 4 – Items 1 & 2. As previously said on the Quay 2000 report, these incidents were noted very accurately at the time of happening, Secondly ALL of this incidents eventually interacted with the Quay 2000 walkway as being a target to carry out the serious ASB without being seen from the road, as at the end of our footpath was a hideaway. This too was frequently seen by a large number of residents. How can the police make this statement when they never ever came out?

Paragraph 5. - Item 4 . Again a totally incorrect statement! Look at our police report and see just how many different flats phoned 101 & 999 on various occasions. Item 6 – Yes that's correct that's where it all started, but ALWAYS made its way to our property walkway, with the debris of broken glass bottles, fighting, white powder (Drugs), needles, and condoms, etc and we had to clean it all up after the aftermath, and it is so unfair as we own that walkway, pay rates on it, maintain it, and yet SCC still appear to want to penalise us living an ordinary life without "FEAR". At ALL times we ended up with the aftermath.

Paragraph 6. Analysing the incidents, yes it did often start on the slipway or grass, but on at least 4 occasions it started on our walkway by the mooring gate. But it would always escalate on to our property as it was easy access and hidden, and the longer it went on the worse it got, to where the residents were frightened to open their curtains. This is not a story book we are writing, it's the facts of serious incidents that took place and it was like a warzone sometimes.

Paragraph 7. We would like to point out that since the gates have been secured; the incidents that you say have been reported in the area are NOTHING to do with Quay 2000, so in fact there are NO more issues here at the moment. Again this police statement has no foundation whatsoever.

Paragraph 8 . What has the RMS stats between May 2017 – December 2017 got to do with our situation? Quay 2000 got bad from June 2018 onwards?? Crime gets worse daily nowadays! Not sure what this is about or what it has to do with our application??

Paragraph 9 . Quay 2000 statement which is absolutely correct.

Paragraph 10. Police response to Paragraph 9 :

- 1. There have been NO complaints by Quay 2000 residents since the gates closure, but PC says “ But perhaps not by those flats mentioned in attachment 1”, which indicates it was not Quay 2000, this is nonsense and doesn’t even connect with our situation.**
- 2. AGREES that if we keep the gates at either end secured it will stop the ASB happening and that it then cannot occur!! So he then agrees with our statement!**
- 3. The Quay 2000 boardwalk is the MAIN instigation of the behaviour as down by the front fence you cannot be seen from the road and from the slipway it is slightly obstructed and the noise of course is further away, so less heard by the general public. This obviously enhances the situation to be able to get worse without the public outside knowing straight away as the activity is further away. On one occasion when PC Scott Walker & his Colleague were chasing a drug dealer along the grassland as the gates were open they got away , had the gates been closed then , these 2 guys could of easily made the arrest. This is also written on a police e mail.**
- 4. 25th May 2017 – 31st December 2017 ??? What has these dates got to do with our application?? We were not experiencing any serious problems then! Not sure what this has to do with anything? Are the police trying to make their case better?**

Paragraph 11. In summary the statistics which PC has produced are totally incorrect & inaccurate, and they hold no foundational truths whatsoever, and a lot of what he says does not even relate to our application! The control centre figures too are inaccurate in the way which calls are noted down (Only 1 call logged per incident) not the number of calls made relating to that incident!! So that puts the amount of total calls totally incorrect!!. PC then goes on to say that the boardwalk has a disproportionate effect on the ASB in the area yet above in Paragraph 10 – Item 2 his response says that he agrees that keeping the gates secured will stop the behavioural problems arising!! Very contradictory.

PC seems to be stating quite a lot facts, Not sure how when the police never attended!!

Please E mail your views URGENTLY on this to :

and

Could you please also cc - Myself & Angela for our files.

Very Many Thanks for supporting us with this Planning Application.